arXiv:hep-th/0510225v4 15 Feb 2006

On the ADHM construction of noncommutative $U(2)$ k-instanton

M.Lagraa*
Laboratoire de physique theorique
Université d'Oran Es-Senia, 31100, Algerie.

Abstract

The basic objects of the ADHM construction are reformulated in terms of elements of the $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ algebra of the noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ space. This new formulation of the ADHM construction makes possible the explicit calculus of the $U(2)$ instanton number which is shown to be the product of a trace of a finite rank projector of the Fock representation space of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ times a noncommutative version of the winding number.

PACS NUMBER: 11.10.Nx, 11.15.Tk.

Introduction

The ADHM (Atiyah-Drinfeld-Hitchin-Manin) construction of instantons [1] is an active research area in physics. It emerges from a wide range of phenomenon in physics, from the Dp-brane theory where the space of solutions of the Higgs branch of the Dp-brane coincides with the ADHM equations [2][3][4] to the vortex theory where it is shown that it exists relationship between the moduli space of vortices and the moduli space of instantons given in terms of fields of the ADHM construction [5][6][7].

In recent years many works have been devoted to the calculus of the noncommutative ADHM instanton number. Either from Corrigan'identity [8][9][10] or in the operator formalism where the first Pontrjagin class is calculated as a converge series [11][12][13][14][15]. In this work we reformulate the ADHM construction of instantons in terms of elements of the $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)\otimes\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ algebra. This new formulation leads to explicit solutions of ADHM constraints. It makes possible an analytic calculus of the noncommutative $U(2)$ gauge field, the field strength and the $U(2)$ instanton number. The calculus of this instanton number resembles the one of the element of the third homotopy group $\pi_3(SU(2))$: the winding number measured by the noncommutative version of the three dimensional surface integral at infinity. This suggests a geometrical picture of instanton number of the ADHM construction which is shown to be a product

---

*m.lagraa@mailcity.lycos.com

of a trace of a finite rank projector onto the Fock representation space of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ times a noncommutative version of the winding number.

We begin this paper by recalling, in section 1, some properties of the noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ space and review briefly, in section 2, the ADHM construction of noncommutative instantons [16]. In section 3, we reformulate the noncommutative ADHM construction of instantons in terms of elements of the $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ algebra from which we calculate analytically the $U(2)$ gauge field, the field strength and the $U(2)$ instanton number then we show how it may be described by a noncommutative version of the winding number.

1 Noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$

The noncommutative 4-dimensional $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ space is described by the $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ algebra generated by the coordinates (hermitian operators) $x_\mu (\mu \in [1,2,3,4])$ or with the complex notation by

$$z_1 = x_2 + ix_1, \quad z_2 = x_4 + ix_3, \quad \overline{z}_1 = x_2 - ix_1, \quad \overline{z}_2 = x_4 - ix_3 \tag{1}$$

with the commutations rules

$$[z_\alpha, \overline{z}_\beta] = -2\theta\delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad [z_\alpha, z_\beta] = 0 \quad ,(\alpha = 1,2). \tag{2}$$

The derivatives act on the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ as

$$\partial_\alpha a = \frac{1}{2\theta}\left[\overline{z}_\alpha, a\right], \qquad \partial_{\overline{\alpha}} = \frac{-1}{2\theta}\left[z_\alpha, a\right] \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4) \tag{3}$$

from which we define the action of exterior derivative d by

$$da = \frac{1}{2\theta}\left[\overline{z}_\alpha, a\right] dz_\alpha - \frac{1}{2\theta}\left[z_\alpha, a\right] d\overline{z}_\alpha.$$

dz_α and $d\overline{z}_\alpha$ commute with z_α and $\overline{z}_\alpha$ and anti-commute among themselves, and hence $d^2a = 0$ $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

The Fock representation space $\mathcal{H}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is spanned by the orthonormalized basis $\left|n_1, n_2\right\rangle (n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \geq 0)$, $\left\langle n_1, n_2 \right| m_1, m_2\rangle = \delta_{n_1 m_1}\delta_{n_2 m_2}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} z_1\left|n_1,n_2\right\rangle &= \sqrt{2\theta\left(n_1+1\right)}\left|n_1+1,n_2\right\rangle, \ z_2\left|n_1,n_2\right\rangle = \sqrt{2\theta\left(n_2+1\right)}\left|n_1,n_2+1\right\rangle, \\ \overline{z}_1\left|n_1,n_2\right\rangle &= \sqrt{2\theta n_1}\left|n_1-1,n_2\right\rangle, \ \overline{z}_2\left|n_1,n_2\right\rangle = \sqrt{2\theta n_2}\left|n_1,n_2-1\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the complex notation the integration on $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ is defined as:

$$\int dz_1 d\overline{z}_1 dz_2 d\overline{z}_2 = (4\pi\theta)^2 Tr_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{5}$$

where the trace of the operator is over the Fock space $\mathcal{H}$.

2 Instantons and ADHM construction

Instantons are localized finite-action non-perturbative self(anti-self)-dual solutions for the Euclidian equations of motion of Yang-Mills gauge theories. In this section we will recall the basic algoritm of the ADHM construction [16] to give such solutions on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ space. This construction is just a deformed version of the commutative one [1][17].

The different steps of the ADHM construction for $U(N)$ k-instantons can be summarized as follows

1. Solve the deformed ADHM equations

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[B_1, B_1^\dagger\right] + \left[B_2, B_2^\dagger\right] + II^\dagger - J^\dagger J = 4\theta id_k. \\ \left[B_1, B_2\right] + IJ = 0. \end{array} \tag{6}$$

   where we have the matrices (with $\mathcal{C}$-numbers entries)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} B_{1,2} & : & k \times k \text{ dimension.} \\ I, J^\dagger & : & k \times N \text{ dimension.} \\ id_k & : & \text{the } k \times k \text{ identity.} \end{array}$$

2. Define the Dirac-like operator

$$\mathcal{D}_z = \begin{pmatrix} B_2 - z_2 & B_1 - z_1 & I \\ -(B_1^\dagger - \overline{z}_1) & B_2^\dagger - \overline{z}_2 & J^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

3. Look for all the N normalized solutions Ψ^a (the zero-modes) to the equation

$$\mathcal{D}_z \Psi^a = 0, \quad \Psi^{a\dagger}\Psi^b = id_k \delta^{ab}. \tag{8}$$

4. Construct the $U(N)$ gauge field $A = \Psi^\dagger d\Psi$ from which we define the field strength $F = dA + A^2$.

The instanton number is defined by

$$k = \frac{\pm 1}{8\pi^2} (4\pi\theta)^2 \, Tr\,(F)^2 \tag{9}$$

which takes integral value. Here the sign +(-) is for the (anti-)self-dual instantons and the trace is taken both on the group indices (for the general case of the $U(N)$ gauge group) and on the Fock space. The above relation is the noncommutative version of the second Chern character defined by

$$k = \frac{\pm 1}{8\pi^2} \int_{R^4} dx Tr_{U(N)} (F)^2$$

3 $U(2)$-k-Instanton explicit solution

In general, in the commutative case ($\theta = 0$), we solve the ADHM equation (6) by putting the $k \times k$ matrices B_1 and B_2 diagonal. Their k complex eingenvalues $\alpha_1^i = X_2^i + iX_1^i$, $\alpha_2^i = X_4^i + iX_3^i$ ($i \in [1, ..., k]$) are interpreted as positions of k instantons (see [18]). The elements of the matrices I and J give their size. Then it might be tempted to interpret, in the noncommutative case, B_1 and B_2 as positions in the noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ space i.e. B_1, $B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$. The finite dimensions of the ADHM matrices are obtained by using projectors of finite rank. In fact if we consider $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ algebra elements of the form $z_\alpha^P = Pz_\alpha P$ where P is the projector of finite rank

$$P = \sum_{n_1=N_1}^{N_2} \sum_{n_2=M_1}^{M_2} |n_1, n_2\rangle \langle n_1, n_2| , \tag{10}$$

we obtain the following commutation relations

$$[z_\alpha^P, \overline{z}_\beta^P] = -2\theta P \delta_{\alpha\beta} + (I_\alpha I_\alpha^\dagger - J_\alpha^\dagger J_\alpha)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad [z_\alpha^P, z_\beta^P] = 0. \tag{11}$$

These relations follow from

$$z_\alpha P = Pz_\alpha + I_\alpha^\dagger - J_\alpha^\dagger \quad , \quad \overline{z}_\alpha P = P\overline{z}_\alpha - I_\alpha + J_\alpha \tag{12}$$

obtained from (4) and (10) where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= P_{N_2}\overline{z}_1 = \sum_{n_2=M_1}^{M_2} |N_2, n_2\rangle \langle N_2, n_2| \ \overline{z}_1 \ , \\ I_2 &= P_{M_2}\overline{z}_2 = \sum_{n_1=N_1}^{N_2} |n_1, M_2\rangle \langle n_1, M_2| \ \overline{z}_2, \\ J_1 &= \overline{z}_1 P_{N_1} = \sum_{n_2=M_1}^{M_2} \overline{z}_1 |N_1, n_2\rangle \langle N_1, n_2| , \\ J_2 &= \overline{z}_2 P_{M_1} = \sum_{n_1=N_1}^{N_2} \overline{z}_2 |n_1, M_1\rangle \langle n_1, M_1| . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The operators I_α and J_α satisfy the relations

$$I_\alpha J_\beta = 0, \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 I_1^\dagger &= 2\theta(N_2+1)P_{N_2}, \ I_2 I_2^\dagger = 2\theta(M_2+1)P_{M_2}, \\ J_1^\dagger J_1 &= 2\theta N_1 P_{N_1}, \ J_2^\dagger J_2 = 2\theta M_1 P_{M_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Comparing equations (6), (11) and (14) above, we see that we can identify $B_\alpha \in End(\mathbb{C}^k)$ with $\overline{z}_\alpha^P$, the Fock sub-space $P\mathcal{H}$ with the $k = (N_2 - N_1 + 1)(M_2 - M_1 + 1)-$dimensional space $\mathbb{C}^k = V$ and the projector $P = id_{P\mathcal{H}}$ with id_k to get the solutions to the ADHM constraints under the form:

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{z}_1^P, z_1^P] + [\overline{z}_2^P, z_2^P] + (II^\dagger - J^\dagger J) &= 4\theta P = 4\theta id_k \\ [z_1^P, z_2^P] + IJ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $II^\dagger = I_1 I_1^\dagger + I_2 I_2^\dagger$, $J^\dagger J = J_1^\dagger J_1 + J_2^\dagger J_2$ and $IJ = I_1 J_1 + I_2 J_2$. The space W is identified to

$$W = ((z_1\overline{z}_1)^{-1} z_1 P_{N_2} + (z_2\overline{z}_2)^{-1} z_2 P_{M_2} + (z_1\overline{z}_1 + 2\theta)^{-1}\overline{z}_1 P_{N_1} + (z_2\overline{z}_2 + 2\theta)^{-1}\overline{z}_2 P_{M_1})\mathcal{H}$$

then $I_\alpha \in Hom(W, V)$, and $J_\alpha^\dagger \in Hom(W, V)$ as required by ADHM construction. With this identification, the Dirac operator (7) becomes

$$\mathcal{D}_z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & Z_1 & I_1 & I_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 & \overline{Z}_2 & J_1^\dagger & J_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

where $Z_\alpha = \overline{z}_\alpha^P \otimes id_\mathcal{H} - id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes z_\alpha$, $\overline{Z}_\alpha = z_\alpha^P \otimes id_\mathcal{H} - id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes \overline{z}_\alpha$, $I_\alpha = I_\alpha \otimes id_\mathcal{H}$, $J_\alpha = J_\alpha \otimes id_\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

The commutation rules (2) and (11) can be recasted in

$$[Z_\alpha, \overline{Z}_\beta] = -(I_\alpha I_\alpha^\dagger - J_\alpha^\dagger J_\alpha)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad [Z_\alpha, Z_\beta] = 0. \tag{18}$$

From (18) and (14) we get the following two solutions to the equation $\mathcal{D}_z \Psi = 0$ as

$$\psi^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{Z}_2(Z\overline{Z})^{-1} II^\dagger \\ -\overline{Z}_1(Z\overline{Z})^{-1} II^\dagger \\ I_1^\dagger \\ I_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \chi^{-1} \text{ and } \psi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} J^\dagger J \\ -Z_2(\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} J^\dagger J \\ J_1 \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} \phi^{-1} \tag{19}$$

where $Z\overline{Z} = Z_1\overline{Z}_1 + Z_2\overline{Z}_2$, $\chi^2 = II^\dagger(Z\overline{Z})^{-1}(Z\overline{Z} + II^\dagger)$ and $\phi^2 = J^\dagger J(\overline{Z}Z)^{-1}(\overline{Z}Z + J^\dagger J)$ are the renormalization factors. The components of $\psi^1, \psi^2 \in \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and act in the Fock space $P\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. By using (18) and (14) we can show that these solutions are orthogonal $\psi^{\dagger 1}\psi^2 = 0 = \psi^{\dagger 2}\psi^1$. To satisfy the normalization condition (8) required by the ADHM construction we must investigate the region of $P\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ where the solutions (19) are well-defined.

The solution ψ^1 is well-defined on $range(II^\dagger) = P^\top\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \subset P\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ where $\ker(\overline{Z}\overline{Z})$ is projected out. The projector $P^\top$ is given

$$P^\top = P_{N_2} + P_{M_2} - |N_2, M_2\rangle \langle N_2, M_2|$$

The states belonging to $\ker(\overline{Z}_\alpha)$ are coherent states given by

$$|C_{k,l}\rangle = \exp(\frac{z_\alpha^P}{\sqrt{2\theta}} \otimes \frac{z_\alpha}{\sqrt{2\theta}})\,|k,l\rangle \otimes |0,0\rangle\,, \quad N_1 \leq k \leq N_2,\ M_1 \leq l \leq M_2.$$

Due to $(z_1^P)^{N_2-k+1}|k,l\rangle = 0$ and $(z_2^P)^{M_2-l+1}|k,l\rangle = 0$, the development of the exponential is a finite sum leading to the following explicit forms of the coherent states

$$|C_{k,l}\rangle = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_2-k}\sum_{n_2=0}^{M_2-l}\left(\sqrt{\frac{(n_1+k)!}{n_1!k!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{(n_2+l)!}{n_2!l!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}|n_1+k, n_2+l\rangle \otimes |n_1,n_2\rangle$$

normalized as

$$|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\rangle = |C_{k,l}\rangle \,\langle C_{k,l}|\,C_{k,l}\rangle^{\frac{-1}{2}} \quad , \quad \langle\widetilde{C}_{k,l}|\widetilde{C}_{k',l'}\rangle = \delta_{k,k'}\delta_{l,l'}.$$

The sub-space $P^C\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H} \subset P\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ plays the roles of the vacuum for the algebra (18) where the projector

$$P^C = \sum_{k=N_1}^{N_2}\sum_{l=M_1}^{M_2}\left|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right\rangle\left\langle\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right|$$

projects onto a sub-space of $P\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ spanned by the coherent states $\left|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right\rangle$

In the other hand $(Z\overline{Z})^{-1} = (a(1-b))^{-1}$ where $a = \overline{z}^P z^P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} + id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes z\overline{z}$ is a positive diagonal operators in $P\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ where the coherent state $\left|\widetilde{C}_{N_2,M_2}\right\rangle = |N_2,M_2\rangle \otimes |0,0\rangle$ is projected out and $b = a^{-1}(\overline{z}^P \otimes \overline{z} + z^P \otimes z)$. Due to $a\left|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right\rangle = (\overline{z}^P z^P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} + id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes z\overline{z})\left|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right\rangle = (\overline{z}^P \otimes \overline{z} + z^P \otimes z)\left|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right\rangle$ deduced from $Z\overline{Z}\left|\widetilde{C}_{k,l}\right\rangle = 0$, b acts as the unity in $P^C(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H})$. And therefore, as stated above, $(1-b)$ is not invertible in this domain.

In $P_{N_1M_1}(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}) = (P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} - P^C)(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H})$, $\|b\| \prec 1$ and a is invertible hence

$$(Z\overline{Z})^{-1} = (a(1-b))^{-1} = (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^n)a^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(a^{-1}(\overline{z}^P \otimes \overline{z} + z^P \otimes z))^n a^{-1}$$

which shows that $(Z\overline{Z})^{-1} : |k,l\rangle \otimes |n_1,n_2\rangle \longrightarrow P_{N_1M_1}(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H})$. Therefore

$$\psi^1 : (P^\top \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}})P_{N_1M_1}(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow P_{N_1M_1}(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H})$$

is normalized on the sub-space $P_{N_1M_1}(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\psi^{\dagger 1}\psi^1 = P_{N_1M_1}.$$

The same reasoning shows that the solution ψ^2:$range(J^\dagger J) = P_\perp \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow P\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, where

$$P_\perp = P_{N_1} + P_{M_1} - |N_1, M_1\rangle \langle N_1, M_1| \,,$$

is normalized as

$$\psi^{\dagger 2}\psi^2 = id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The normalization of these solutions can be recasted in

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} P_{N_1 M_1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} \tag{20}$$

where $\Psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2)$. To get normalized solutions on the full Fock space $P\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, we proceed as follows: from the fact that these solutions are not uniquely defined one is free to perform a $U(2)$ transformation

$$\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi U \tag{21}$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & Z_1 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 & \overline{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2)^{\frac{-1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{22}$$

with $\Theta_1 = I_1 I_1^\dagger - J_1^\dagger J_1$, $\Theta_2 = I_2 I_2^\dagger - J_2^\dagger J_2$.

as consequence of commutation rules (18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} U^\dagger U &= \begin{pmatrix} (Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2)^{\frac{-1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Z}_2 Z_2 + Z_1 \overline{Z}_1 & \overline{Z}_2 Z_1 - Z_1 \overline{Z}_2 \\ \overline{Z}_1 Z_2 - Z_2 \overline{Z_2} & \overline{Z}_1 Z_1 + Z_2 \overline{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} (Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2)^{\frac{-1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} = id. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Similarly we have

$$UU^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2} \overline{Z}_2 + Z_1 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1} \overline{Z}_1 & -Z_2 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2} Z_1 + Z_1 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1} Z_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2} \overline{Z}_2 + \overline{Z}_2 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1} \overline{Z}_1 & \overline{Z}_1 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_2} Z_1 + \overline{Z}_2 \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_1} Z_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

By using (4) and (15), we show that

$$\left[z_1^P, I_2 I_2^\dagger\right] = \left[z_1^P, J_2^\dagger J_2\right] = 0, \quad \left[z_2^P, I_1 I_1^\dagger\right] = \left[z_2^P, J_1^\dagger J_1\right] = 0$$

from which we deduce

$$\left[Z_1, I_2 I_2^\dagger\right] = \left[Z_1, J_2^\dagger J_2\right] = 0, \quad \left[Z_2, I_1 I_1^\dagger\right] = \left[Z_2, J_1^\dagger J_1\right] = 0. \tag{25}$$

The commutation rules (18) lead to

$$\frac{1}{Z\overline{Z}} Z_\alpha = Z_\alpha \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_\alpha} \quad , \quad \overline{Z}_\alpha \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z}} = \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + \Theta_\alpha} \overline{Z}_\alpha. \tag{26}$$

Then from (25) and (26), (24) reads

$$UU^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z}} Z\overline{Z} = P_{N_1 M_1} & \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z}}(Z_1 Z_2 - Z_2 Z_1) = 0 \\ (\overline{Z}_2 \overline{Z}_1 - \overline{Z}_1 \overline{Z}_2) \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z}} = 0 & \frac{1}{Z\overline{Z} + II^\dagger - J^\dagger J} \overline{Z}_2 Z_2 + \overline{Z}_1 Z_1 = id_{P\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1}. \tag{27}$$

The relations (23) and (27) show that U is a partial isometry

$$U^\dagger U = id \quad , \quad UU^\dagger = \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} \tag{28}$$

which satisfies the relations

$$\mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} U = U \text{ and } U^\dagger \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} = U^\dagger \tag{29}$$

as a consequence of $\overline{Z}_\alpha \left| \widetilde{C}_{k,l} \right\rangle = 0$.

From (20), (29) and (28) we get

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger \widetilde{\Psi} = U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \Psi U = U^\dagger \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} U = U^\dagger U = id \tag{30}$$

which shows that $\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi U$ is normalized to the unity in the full Fock space $P\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ as required by ADHM constructions. Hence the gauge fields $\widetilde{A}^{ab} = \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a} d\widetilde{\Psi}^b$ satisfying

$$\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger d\widetilde{\Psi} = U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger d(\Psi) U + U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \Psi dU = U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger d(\Psi) U + U^\dagger \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} dU = U^\dagger A U + U^\dagger dU \tag{31}$$

where $A = \Psi^\dagger d\Psi$ and $d = P \otimes d$ is the exterior derivative.

The field strength is given by $\widetilde{F} = d\widetilde{A} + \widetilde{A}\widetilde{A}$ or in terms of components by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}^{ab} &= d\widetilde{A}^{ab} + \widetilde{A}^{ac}\widetilde{A}^{cb} = d\widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a} d\widetilde{\Psi}^b + \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a} d\widetilde{\Psi}^c \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger c} d\widetilde{\Psi}^b \\ &= d\widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a} (1 - \widetilde{\Psi}^c \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger c}) d\widetilde{\Psi}^b \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where we have used (30). The projector $1 - \widetilde{\Psi}^c \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger c}$ that projects out of the zero-modes must be equal to $\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger} \mathcal{D}_z$. This completeness relation, $\widetilde{\Psi}^c \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger c} + \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger} \mathcal{D}_z = 1$, is necessary to have self-dual field configurations [9] [19] [20] [21]. To check this completeness relation, we rewrite the solutions (19) under the form

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^a = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Psi}^a_I \\ \widetilde{\Psi}^a_{II} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{\Psi}^a_I = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Psi}^a_1 \\ \widetilde{\Psi}^a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Psi}^a_{II} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\Psi}^a_3 \\ \widetilde{\Psi}^a_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the 4×4 matrix $\widetilde{\Psi}\widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger = \Psi U U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger = \Psi \mathbf{P}_{N_1 M_1} \Psi^\dagger = \psi^1 P_{N_1 M_1} \psi^{1\dagger} + \psi^2 \psi^{2\dagger}$ can be rewritten under four blocs of 2×2 matrices $M_{A,B} = \psi^1_A P_{N_1 M_1} \psi^{1\dagger}_B + \psi^2_A \psi^{2\dagger}_B$, $(A, B \in [I, II])$. Explicitly we get

$$M_{I,I} = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{Z}_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 \end{pmatrix} ((Z\overline{Z})^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} -Z_2 & -Z_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ -Z_2 \end{pmatrix} ((\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Z}_1 & -\overline{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{33}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{-2} P_{N_1 M_1} &= \Delta^{-1} Z\overline{Z} (II^\dagger)^{-1} P_{N_1 M_1} = \Delta^{-1} Z\overline{Z} P (II^\dagger)^{-1} = \\ &= \Delta^{-1} Z\overline{Z} (II^\dagger)^{-1} = \chi^{-2} \Longrightarrow \chi^{-1} P_{N_1 M_1} = \chi^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

deduced from $\overline{Z}_\alpha \left| \widetilde{C}_{k,l} \right\rangle = 0$ and $\left[II^\dagger, P_{N_1 M_1} \right] = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (Z\overline{Z})^{-1} II^\dagger \chi^{-2} II^\dagger (Z\overline{Z})^{-1} &= (Z\overline{Z})^{-1} II^\dagger \Delta^{-1} = (Z\overline{Z})^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}, \\ (\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} J^\dagger J \phi^{-2} J^\dagger J (\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} &= (\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} J^\dagger J \Delta^{-1} = (\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} - \Delta^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = Z\overline{Z} + II^\dagger = \overline{Z}Z + J^\dagger J$.

By using (26), we see that the first and third terms of (33) give

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\overline{Z}_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 \end{pmatrix} (Z\overline{Z})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -Z_2 & -Z_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ -Z_2 \end{pmatrix} (\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Z}_1 & -\overline{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = 1_{2\times 2}$$

hence

$$M_{I,I} = 1_{2\times 2} - \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{Z}_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -Z_2 & -Z_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ -Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Z}_1 & -\overline{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{34}$$

From the relation $(Z\overline{Z})^{-1} II^\dagger \chi^{-2} = \Delta^{-1} = (\overline{Z}Z)^{-1} J^\dagger J \phi^{-2}$ we get

$$M_{I,II} = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{Z}_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ -Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} J^\dagger_1 & J^2_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{35}$$

and $M_{II,I} = M^\dagger_{I,II}$.

The relations $(II^\dagger)^{-1}(Z\overline{Z})\Delta^{-1} = (II^\dagger)^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}$ and $(J^\dagger J)^{-1}(\overline{Z}Z)\Delta^{-1} = (J^\dagger J)^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}$ lead to

$$M_{II,II} = \begin{pmatrix} I^\dagger_1 \\ I^\dagger_2 \end{pmatrix} (II^\dagger)^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} J^\dagger_1 \\ J^\dagger_2 \end{pmatrix} ((J^\dagger J)^{-1} - \Delta^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & J_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

And therefore, (34), (36), (35) and its adjoint lead to $\widetilde{\Psi}\widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger = \Psi U U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger = \Psi P_{N_1M_1}\Psi^\dagger = 1_\Psi - \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger}\mathcal{D}_z$ where

$$1_\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} & \begin{pmatrix} I_1^\dagger \\ I_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} (II^+)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} (J^+J)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} J_1^\dagger & J_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \tag{37}$$

which is the unit for the zero mode $\widetilde{\Psi}^a$. Due to $dI_\alpha = I_\alpha d$ and $dJ_\alpha = J_\alpha d$ (37) is also the unit for $d\widetilde{\Psi}^a$. Hence the completeness relation from which the field strength components (32) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}^{ab} &= d\widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a}\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger}\mathcal{D}_z d\widetilde{\Psi}^b = \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a}(d\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger}(d\mathcal{D}_z)\widetilde{\Psi}^b \\ &= U^{\dagger ac}\psi^{\dagger c}(d\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger}(d\mathcal{D}_z)\psi^d U^{db} = U^{\dagger ac}F^{cd}U^{db}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ab} &= \psi^{\dagger a}(d\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z\mathcal{D}_z^\dagger}(d\mathcal{D}_z)\psi^b \\ &= \psi^\dagger \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} dz_1 d\overline{z}_1 - dz_2 d\overline{z}_2 & -2dz_1 d\overline{z}_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2dz_2 d\overline{z}_1 & dz_2 d\overline{z}_2 - dz_1 d\overline{z}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi^b. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The relation (39) exhibits the anti-self duality conditions

$$F^{ab}_{z_1\overline{z}_1} = -F^{ab}_{z_2\overline{z}_2}, \quad F^{ab}_{z_1 z_2} = 0 \Longrightarrow \widetilde{F}^{ab}_{z_1\overline{z}_1} = -\widetilde{F}^{ab}_{z_2\overline{z}_2}, \quad \widetilde{F}^{ab}_{z_1 z_2} = 0$$

and the $U(2)$ instanton number is given, in this formalism, by

$$k = -\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2}Tr(\widetilde{F})^2 \tag{40}$$

where now $Tr = tr_{P\mathcal{H}} \otimes tr_{\mathcal{H}} tr_{U(2)}$.

Let us now consider the particular case by setting $N_1 = M_1 = 0$ into the projector (10). From (13) we deduce $J_1 = J_2 = 0$ which reduces the solution (19) to $\psi = (\psi^1, 0)$ where ψ^1 is normalized as

$$\psi^{1\dagger}\psi^1 = P_{00}$$

with

$$P_{00} = P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{k=0}^{N_2}\sum_{l=0}^{M_2} \left|\widetilde{C}_{kl}\right\rangle \left\langle \widetilde{C}_{kl}\right|.$$

Since $I_\alpha P = 0$ The solution $\psi_2 = 0$ may be replaced by

$$\psi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{41}$$

which is a trivial solution to (17) with $J_1^\dagger = J_2^\dagger = 0$, orthogonal to ψ^1 and normalized as $\psi^{2\dagger}\psi^2 = id_{P\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}}$. This solution can be recasted in $\Psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2)$ normalized as

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} P_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{P\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_{00}. \tag{42}$$

From that, it is obvious to proceed as for the $U(2)$ solutions above by transforming the doublet Ψ by the partial isometry (22) with $\Theta_1 = I_1 I_1^\dagger$ and $\Theta_2 = I_2 I_2^\dagger$ to get

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger \Psi = U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \Psi U = U^\dagger \mathbf{P}_{00} U = U^\dagger U = id.$$

The gauge field $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger d\widetilde{\Psi}$ transforms like (31) with $A^{12} = \psi^{1\dagger} d\psi^2 = 0$, $A^{21} = \psi^{2\dagger} d\psi^1 = 0$ and $A^{22} = \psi^{2\dagger} d\psi^2 = 0$. The same technic can be used to check that $\widetilde{\Psi}\widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger = 1_\Psi - \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger} \mathcal{D}_z$ with

$$1_\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2\times 2} & 0_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} & \begin{pmatrix} I_1^\dagger \\ I_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} (II^+)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} & P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} \\ P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} & P \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is the unit of $\widetilde{\Psi}^a$ and $d\widetilde{\Psi}^a$. Then the field strength components can be computed from (39) to get $F^{12} = 0$, $F^{21} = 0$, $F^{22} = 0$ but all the components of $\widetilde{F} = U^\dagger F U$ are nonvanishing and are given in terms of F^{11}, Z_α and $\overline{Z}_\alpha$. This shows that, in this formalism, the solution ψ^1 which is generally considered as solutions for $U(1)$ instantons is in fact a doublet component of $U(2)$ instantons.

Let us now investigate a little more the ADHM constraints. The above results show that depending the form of the projector (10), we obtain different solutions of the ADHM constraints. These solutions depend on the form of z_α^P which determine the form of the operators I_α and J_α in (16). In fact from (12), (13), $PI_\alpha^\dagger = 0 = PJ_\alpha$, $PI_\alpha = I_\alpha$ and $PJ_\alpha^\dagger = J_\alpha^\dagger$ we deduce

$$P z_\alpha P = P z_\alpha - J_\alpha^\dagger = z_\alpha^P \quad , \quad z_\alpha P = P z_\alpha P + I_\alpha^\dagger = z_\alpha^P + I_\alpha^\dagger \tag{43}$$

which show that for $z_2^P = 0$, we get $z_2 P = I_2^\dagger$ and $P z_2 = J_2^\dagger$, and for $z_1^P = 0$ we get $z_1 P = I_1^\dagger$ and $P z_\alpha = J_\alpha^\dagger$. These cases are respectivelly obtained from projectors of the form

$$P_{2s} = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_2} |n_1 + k, l\rangle \langle n_1 + k, l| \text{ and } P_{1s} = \sum_{n_2=0}^{M_2} |k, n_2 + l\rangle \langle k, n_2 + l| \quad \forall k, l \geq 0.$$

$k = l = 0$ corresponds to $N_1 = M_1 = 0 \Longrightarrow J_1 = J_2 = 0$.

For $z_1^P = z_2^P = 0$ we get $z_\alpha P = I_\alpha^\dagger$ and $P z_\alpha = J_\alpha^\dagger$. This case is obtained from projectors of the form

$$P = \sum_{n_1=N_1}^{N_2} |n_1 + k, n_1 + l\rangle \langle n_1 + k, n_1 + l| \quad \forall k, l \geq 0 \ \ or \ \ P_J = \sum_{m=-J}^{J} |J, m\rangle \langle J, m| \tag{44}$$

where $J = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, ...\infty$ and $m = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - n_2)$ runs by integer steps over the range $- J \leq m \leq J$.

Finally if we take the projector

$$P^{bd} = P^\top + P_\perp - P_{N_1 M_2} - P_{N_2 M_1} \quad \text{with } P_{N_\alpha M_\beta} = |N_\alpha, M_\beta\rangle \langle N_\alpha, M_\beta| \,, \ (\alpha, \beta \in [1, 2])$$

which projects onto the boundary of $P\mathcal{H}$ where P is given by (10), we get

$$[\overline{z}_\alpha^{bd}, z_\beta^{bd}] = 2\theta\delta_{\alpha\beta} - (I_\alpha^{bd} I_\beta^{bd\dagger} - J_\alpha^{bd\dagger} J_\beta^{bd}) \tag{45}$$

where $z_\alpha^{bd} = P^{bd} z_\alpha P^{bd}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{bd} &= (P_{N_2} + P_{N_1} - P_{N_1 M_1} - P_{N_1 M_2})\overline{z}_1, \quad I_2^{bd} = (P_{M_2} + P_{M_1} - P_{N_1 M_1} - P_{N_2 M_1})\overline{z}_2, \\ J_1^{bd} &= \overline{z}_1 (P_{N1} + P_{N_2} - P_{N_2 M_1} - P_{N_2 M_2}), \quad J_2^{bd} = \overline{z}_2 (P_{M_1} + P_{M_2} - P_{N_1 M_2} - P_{N_2 M_2}). \end{aligned}$$

The relations (45) give solutions of the real ADHM constraint (16) with $I^{bd} = \left(I_1^{bd}, I_2^{bd}\right)$ and $J^{bd\dagger} = \left(J_2^{bd\dagger}, -J_1^{bd\dagger}\right)$ and the commutation relation

$$[\overline{z}_1^{bd}, \overline{z}_2^{bd}] = I_2^{bd} J_1^{bd} - I_1^{bd} J_2^{bd} = \overline{z}_1 P_{N_2 M_1} \overline{z}_2 - \overline{z}_2 P_{N_1 M_2} \overline{z}_1$$

corresponds to the complex ADHM constraint $[B_1, B_2] + IJ = 0$.

The same definitions of Z_α, I_α and J_α given below (17) in term of the projector P^{bd} lead to

$$[Z_\alpha^{bd}, \overline{Z}_\beta^{bd}] = -(I_\alpha^{bd} I_\beta^{bd\dagger} - J_\alpha^{bd\dagger} J_\beta^{bd}), \ [Z_1^{bd}, Z_2^{bd}] = I_2^{bd} J_1^{bd} - I_1^{bd} J_2^{bd}. \tag{46}$$

The Dirac operator which is compatible with these commutation relations reads

$$\mathcal{D}_z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & Z_1 & I \\ -\overline{Z}_1 & \overline{Z}_2 & J^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & Z_1 & I_1 & I_2 \\ -\overline{Z}_1 & \overline{Z}_2 & J_2^\dagger & -J_1^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

leading to an invertible $\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger$ necessary to ADHM construction. In fact by using (46) we get

$$(\mathcal{D}_z \mathcal{D}_z^\dagger)^{-1} = (Z\overline{Z} + II^\dagger)^{-1} 1_{2\times 2} = (\overline{Z} Z + J^\dagger J)^{-1} 1_{2\times 2}.$$

Because of the noncommutativity of Z_1 with Z_2 and $\overline{Z}_2$ it is more difficult to find solutions of $\mathcal{D}_z\psi = 0$. All the above examples of ADHM constraint solutions reinforce the interpretation of $B_1^\dagger = z_1^p, B_2^\dagger = z_2^p \in End(V) = End(P\mathcal{H})$ as instantons positions in the noncommutative space $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$. The noncommutative analogue of the localized feature of positions is expressed by projectors which project onto finite dimensional sub-space $P\mathcal{H}$ of the Fock space representation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

Before to compute explicitly the $U(2)$ instanton number (40), let us note that many of the above results present similarities with works treating noncommutative ADHM constructions of instantons. Especially the analogy of this formalism with the algebraic-geometric interpretation of the space V and the triple (B_1, B_2, I) considered in [22], [23] and [24]. This analogy is given by the correspondence between the Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ and the space $\mathbb{C}\left[z_1, z_2\right]$ of all polynomials in classical variable z_1 and z_2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
|n_1, n_2\rangle &= (z_1/\sqrt{2\theta})^{n_1}/(n_1!)(z_2/\sqrt{2\theta})^{n2}/(n_2!)\,|0,0\rangle \;\Leftrightarrow\; (z_1)^{n_1}(z_2)^{n2} \\
P\mathcal{H} &= \mathbb{C}\left[z_1^P, z_2^P\right]|0,0\rangle\,((z_1^P)^{N_2+1} = 0, (z_2^P)^{M_2+1} = 0) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[z_1, z_2\right]/I_p = V
\end{aligned}$$

where I_p is the ideal parameterizes the torsion free sheaf on $\mathbb{C}^2$. In this case I_p is the ideal given by the space of functions of the form

$$I_p = (z_1)^{N_2+1} g(z_1, z_2) + (z_2)^{M_2+1} h(z_1, z_2) \simeq P\mathcal{H}$$

where P is the projector (10) with $N_1 = M_1 = 0$. For instance, the case $N_1 = M_1 = M_2 = 0$,

$$P = \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_2} |n_1, 0\rangle\langle n_1, 0| \;\;,$$

gives $I_2 = \sqrt{2\theta}\sum_{n_1=0}^{N_2}|n_1,0\rangle\langle n_1,1|$, $I_1 = \sqrt{2\theta(N_2+1)}\,|N_2,0\rangle\langle N_2+1,0|$, $B_2 = \overline{z}_2^P = 0$, and $B_1 = \overline{z}_1^P$. This case corresponds exactly to the one's given in section (4.1) of [22] for $N = N_2 - 1$ where $I_p = (z_1)^{N_2+1}g(z_1,z_2) + (z_2)h(z_1,z_2)$, $B_2 = \overline{z}_2^P = 0$ but with $B_1 = \sqrt{2}\overline{z}_1^P$ and only the image of the operator $I = \sqrt{2}(I_1 = \sqrt{2\theta N}\,|N,0\rangle\langle N+1,0|) = \sqrt{4\theta N}\,|N,0\rangle$ is taken into account. The factor $\sqrt{2}$ is added by hand to fit to the ADHM constraints. This kind of solution is also considered in [14] and, with an adequate shift of the deformation parameter, in [21]. This consists in fact to take as ADHM equations only the sector $z_1^P - \overline{z}_1^P$ in (11). This restriction does not take into account the operator I_2 which exists even if $z_2^P = 0$ and consider, in general, only the absolute value of the operator I_1 under the form $I = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{I_1 I_1^\dagger}$ in the Dirac operator. Because of $IP = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{I_1 I_1^\dagger}P = I$, this latter restriction does not allow to get a trivial solution of the form (41) and then to construct doublets of $U(2)$ instantons.

In the following, we compute explicitly the case where the projector is of the form

$$P_J = \sum_{m=-J}^{J} |J,m\rangle \langle J,m| \, . \tag{47}$$

The coordinates z_α and $\overline{z}_\alpha$ act on the states $|J,m\rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 |J,m\rangle &= \sqrt{2\theta(J+m+1)} \left| J+\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle , \\
z_2 |J,m\rangle &= \sqrt{2\theta(J-m+1)} \left| J+\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle , \\
\overline{z}_1 |J,m\rangle &= \sqrt{2\theta(J-m)} \left| J-\frac{1}{2}, m-\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle , \\
\overline{z}_2 |J,m\rangle &= \sqrt{2\theta(J-m)} \left| J-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle , \\
z\overline{z} |J,m\rangle &= 4\theta J |J,m\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

which imply that $z_\alpha^{P_J} = 0$, $\overline{z}_\alpha^{P_J} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= P_J \overline{z}_1 \ , \ I_2 = P_J \overline{z}_2 \ , \ J_1 = \overline{z}_1 P_J \ , \ J_2 = \overline{z}_2 P_J , \\
I_1 I_1^\dagger &= 2\theta \sum_{m=-J}^{J} (J+m+1) P_{Jm} \ , \ I_2 I_2^\dagger = 2\theta \sum_{m=-J}^{J} (J-m+1) P_{Jm} , \\
J_1^\dagger J_1 &= 2\theta \sum_{m=-J}^{J} (J+m) P_{Jm} \ , \ J_2^\dagger J_2 = 2\theta \sum_{m=-J}^{J} (J-m) P_{Jm}
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where $P_{Jm} = |J,m\rangle \langle J,m|$. I_1,$I_2 : P_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{H} \rightarrow P_J \mathcal{H}$ and J_1, $J_2 : P_J \mathcal{H} \rightarrow P_{J-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{H}$.

$z_\alpha^{P_J} = 0$ reduce the ADHM conditions (16) to $II^\dagger - J^\dagger J = 4\theta P_J$ and $IJ = 0$ which are easily verified from (49). The Dirac operator (17) reduces to

$$\mathcal{D}_z = \begin{pmatrix} -z_2 & -z_1 & I_1 & I_2 \\ \overline{z}_1 & -\overline{z}_2 & J_1^\dagger & J_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $z_\alpha = id_{P_J \mathcal{H}} \otimes z_\alpha$, $I_\alpha = I_\alpha \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $J_\alpha = J_\alpha \otimes id_{\mathcal{H}}$. The solutions $\mathcal{D}_z \psi^a = 0$ read

$$\psi^1 = \begin{pmatrix} II^\dagger \overline{z}_2 \frac{1}{z\overline{z}} \\ II^\dagger \overline{z}_1 \frac{1}{z\overline{z}} \\ I_1^\dagger \\ I_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \chi^{-1} \text{ and } \ \psi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -J^\dagger J z_1 \frac{1}{\overline{z}z} \\ J^\dagger J z_2 \frac{1}{\overline{z}z} \\ J_1 \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} \phi^{-1} \tag{50}$$

where $\chi^2 = II^\dagger(z\overline{z})^{-1}(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger)$, $\phi^2 = J^\dagger J(\overline{z}z)^{-1}(\overline{z}z+J^\dagger J)$ and $z\overline{z} = z_1\overline{z}_1 + z_2\overline{z}_2$. The components of ψ^a act on the Fock space $P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ and are normalized as

$$\Psi^\dagger\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} p_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} = P_{00} \tag{51}$$

where $\Psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2)$ and $p_{00} = P_J \otimes (id_{\mathcal{H}} - |0,0\rangle\langle 0,0|)$. Since in this case $\Theta_1 = I_1I_1^\dagger - J_1^\dagger J_1 = 2\theta id_{P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} = \Theta_2 = I_2I_2^\dagger - J_2^\dagger J_2$, the partial isometry (22) reduces to

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -z_2 & -z_1 \\ \overline{z}_1 & -\overline{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z\overline{z}+2\theta}}$$

which satisfies the relations

$$U^\dagger U = \begin{pmatrix} id_{P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad UU^\dagger = P_{00} \tag{52}$$

and

$$P_{00}U = U \quad , \quad U^\dagger P_{00} = U^\dagger . \tag{53}$$

Note that in the classical limit ($\theta = 0$)

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -z_2 & -z_1 \\ \overline{z}_1 & -\overline{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z\overline{z}}} = -id_k \otimes (x_4 + ix_i\sigma_i)\frac{1}{r} \in id_k \otimes SU(2) \tag{54}$$

may be viewed as an element of the classical group $SU(2)$. Here σ_i are the Pauli matrices and $r = \sqrt{x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2}$.

From (53) and (52) we obtain the normalization of $\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi U$ as

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger a}\widetilde{\Psi}^b = \delta^{ab} id_{P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}} \tag{55}$$

and the gauge field $\widetilde{A}$ satisfies the relation (31). The components of the gauge field A can be explicitly calculated by

$$A^{ab} = \psi^{\dagger a}\frac{1}{2\theta}\left[\overline{z}^i, \psi^b\right] dz^i - \psi^{\dagger a}\frac{1}{2\theta}\left[z^i, \psi^b\right] d\overline{z}^i. \tag{56}$$

In fact from $\left[z_\alpha, I_\beta I_\beta^\dagger\right] = 0$ and $\overline{z}_\alpha f(z\overline{z}) = f(z\overline{z} + 2\theta id_{P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}})\overline{z}_\alpha = f(z\overline{z} + 2\theta)\overline{z}_\alpha$, a straightforward calculus gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{11} &= \frac{1}{2\theta}((\frac{z\overline{z}(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger+2\theta)}{(z\overline{z}+2\theta)(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger)})^{\frac{1}{2}}-p_{00})\overline{z}_\alpha dz^\alpha - h.c\ , \\
A^{22} &= \frac{1}{2\theta}\overline{z}_\alpha((\frac{\overline{z}z(\overline{z}z+J^\dagger J-2\theta)}{(\overline{z}z-2\theta)(\overline{z}z+J^\dagger J)})^{\frac{1}{2}}-1)dz^\alpha - h.c., \\
A^{12} &= (\frac{II^\dagger J^\dagger J}{(z\overline{z})(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger)(z\overline{z}+2\theta)(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger-2\theta)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(z_1dz_2-z_2dz_1)\ , \\
A^{21} &= -(A^{12})^\dagger \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^\dagger dU &= \frac{1}{2\theta}\begin{pmatrix} ((\frac{z\overline{z}+2\theta}{z\overline{z}+4\theta})^{\frac{1}{2}}-1)\overline{z}_1 & 2\theta((z\overline{z}+2\theta)(z\overline{z}+4\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\overline{z}_2 \\ 0 & ((\frac{z\overline{z}+4\theta}{z\overline{z}+2\theta})-1)\overline{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} dz_1 \\
&+\frac{1}{2\theta}\begin{pmatrix} ((\frac{z\overline{z}+4\theta}{z\overline{z}+2\theta})^{\frac{1}{2}}-1)\overline{z}_2 & 0 \\ 2\theta((z\overline{z}+2\theta)(z\overline{z}+4\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\overline{z}_1 & ((\frac{z\overline{z}+2\theta}{z\overline{z}+4\theta})-1)\overline{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} dz_2 \\
&-\frac{1}{2\theta}\begin{pmatrix} z_1((\frac{z\overline{z}+2\theta}{z\overline{z}+4\theta})^{\frac{1}{2}}-1) & 0 \\ 2\theta z_2((z\overline{z}+2\theta)(z\overline{z}+4\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} & z_1((\frac{z\overline{z}+4\theta}{z\overline{z}+2\theta})-1) \end{pmatrix} d\overline{z}_1 \\
&-\frac{1}{2\theta}\begin{pmatrix} z_2((\frac{z\overline{z}+4\theta}{z\overline{z}+2\theta})^{\frac{1}{2}}-1) & 2\theta z_1((z\overline{z}+2\theta)(z\overline{z}+4\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & z_2((\frac{z\overline{z}+2\theta}{z\overline{z}+4\theta})-1) \end{pmatrix} d\overline{z}_2 . (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get the explicit form of the field strength components F^{ab} from (39) as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{11} &= -D^{11}(z\overline{z})(2\overline{z}_1z_2dz_1d\overline{z}_2+2z_1\overline{z}_2dz_2d\overline{z}_1+ \\
&\quad (z_1\overline{z}_1-z_2\overline{z}_2)dz_1d\overline{z}_1-(z_1\overline{z}_1-z_2\overline{z}_2)dz_2d\overline{z}_2), \\
F^{22} &= D^{22}(z\overline{z})(2\overline{z}_1z_2dz_1d\overline{z}_2+2z_1\overline{z}_2dz_2d\overline{z}_1+ \\
&\quad (z_1\overline{z}_1-z_2\overline{z}_2)dz_1d\overline{z}_1-(z_1\overline{z}_1-z_2\overline{z}_2)dz_2d\overline{z}_2), \\
F^{12} &= 2D^{12}(z\overline{z})(-z_2z_2dz_1d\overline{z}_2+z_1z_1dz_2d\overline{z}_1-z_1z_2dz_1d\overline{z}_1+z_1z_2dz_2d\overline{z}_2), \\
F^{21} &= (F^{12})^\dagger \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^{11}(z\overline{z})=\frac{II^\dagger}{\overline{z}\overline{z}(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger-2\theta)(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger)}$, $D^{22}(z\overline{z})=\frac{J^\dagger J}{\overline{z}z(\overline{z}z+J^\dagger J+2\theta)(\overline{z}z+J^\dagger J)}$, $D^{12}(z\overline{z})=(\frac{II^\dagger J^\dagger J}{(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger)(z\overline{z}+J^\dagger J)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{z\overline{z}(z\overline{z}+II^\dagger-2\theta)}$ and $(dz_\alpha d\overline{z}_\beta)^\dagger = dz_\beta d\overline{z}_\alpha$.

Notice that in the particular case where the projector P_0 is of the form $P_0=|0,0\rangle\langle 0,0|$, we see from (49) that $II^\dagger=4\theta id_{P_0\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}}=4\theta$ and $J_\alpha=0$ which imply, from (57) and (59), that $A^{12}=A^{21}=A^{22}=0$ and $F^{12}=F^{21}=F^{22}=0$. The component F^{11} takes the same form as for the $U(1)$ one-instanton calculated in [11]. Let us recall that F^{11} is defined in the Fock space $P_0\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}\approx\mathcal{H}$ where the state $|0,0\rangle$ is projected out while $\widetilde{F}$ is defined in the full Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Tr_{U(2)}(\widetilde{F})^2 &= (z\overline{z}+2\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{z}_2F^{11}p_{00}F^{11}z_2+\overline{z}_1F^{11}p_{00}F^{11}z_1)(z\overline{z}+2\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= -2(4\theta)^2(z\overline{z}+2\theta)^{-2}(z\overline{z}+4\theta)^{-1}(z\overline{z}+6\theta)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting this expression into (40) and using (4), we get the instanton number as a converge series of sum one.

Now we are ready to calculate explicitly the $U(2)$ instanton number (40). First we observe from (57), (58) and (59) that the gauge field $\widetilde{A}$ and the field strength $\widetilde{F}$ are well defined in the full Fock space $P_J\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ and $Tr_{U(2)}(\widetilde{F})^2$ vanishes rapidly enough at infinity (as $(z\overline{z})^{-4}$ in the region n_1, $n_2 \longrightarrow \infty$ or $J \rightarrow \infty$ of the Fock space). Then (40) is represented by a converge series. These properties permit us to use, as for ordinary Yang-Mills theory, the cyclic property of the trace and the Leibniz rule of the exterior derivative to rewrite (40) under the form

$$k = -\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2}Tr(\widetilde{F})^2 = -\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2}Tr\left[dK\right] \tag{60}$$

where $K = \widetilde{A}\widetilde{F} - \frac{1}{3}(\widetilde{A})^2$.

Now, let $K = K_{\overline{z}_1}dz_1dz_2d\overline{z}_2 + K_{\overline{z}_2}dz_2dz_1d\overline{z}_1 + K_{z_1}d\overline{z}_1dz_2d\overline{z}_2 + K_{z_2}d\overline{z}_2dz_1d\overline{z}_1$ be a three form. The differential of K is given by:

$$dK = \frac{1}{2\theta}([\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha}] + [z_\alpha, K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}])dz_1d\overline{z}_1dz_2d\overline{z}_2$$

and its integration over a finite volume is expressed in the noncommutative case by a trace over a finite Fock sub-space $\mathcal{H}_V \subset \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_V$ be a sub-space delimited by the boundaries $P_{J_2}\mathcal{H}$ and $P_{J_1}\mathcal{H}$ with quantum number $J_2\rangle J_1$. The Integration of dK is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_{\mathcal{H}_V}dK &= \frac{1}{2\theta}\sum_{J=J_1}^{J_2}\sum_{m=-J}^{J}\langle J,m|\,[\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha}] + [z_\alpha, K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}]\,|J,m\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\theta}\sum_{J=J_1}^{J_2}Tr_{P_J\mathcal{H}}([\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha}] + [z_\alpha, K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}]). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

By using (48) one can see that the terms coming from $Tr_{P_J\mathcal{H}}(\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha})$ and $Tr_{P_J\mathcal{H}}(K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}z_\alpha)$ cancel the terms coming from $Tr_{P_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{H}}(K_{z_\alpha}\overline{z}_\alpha)$ and $Tr_{P_{J+\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{H}}(z_\alpha, K_{\overline{z}_\alpha})$ respectively, so that the contributions corresponding to the interior $J_2\rangle J\rangle J_1$ will be cancelled out to keep only contributions coming from boundaries as

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_{\mathcal{H}_V}dK &= \frac{1}{2\theta}\sum_{m=-J_2}^{J_2}(\langle J_2,m|\,\overline{z}_\alpha K_{z_\alpha}\,|J_2,m\rangle - \langle J_2,m|\,K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}z_\alpha\,|J_2,m\rangle) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2\theta}\sum_{m=-J_1}^{J_1}(\langle J_1,m|\,K_{z_\alpha}\overline{z}_\alpha\,|J_1,m\rangle - \langle J_1,m|\,z_\alpha K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}\,|J_1,m\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

This result is the noncommutative version of the Stokes' theorem. For the trace over the full Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ $J_1 = 0$ and $J_2 \longrightarrow \infty$. Since $\overline{z}_\alpha\,|0,0\rangle = 0$ and $\langle 0,0|\,z_\alpha = 0$, (62) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
Tr_{\mathcal{H}} dK &= \lim_{J_2 \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{m=-J_2}^{J_2} \left(\langle J_2, m | \, \overline{z}_\alpha K_{z_\alpha} \, | J_2, m \rangle - \langle J_2, m | \, K_{\overline{z}_\alpha} z_\alpha \, | J_2, m \rangle \right) \\
& \lim_{J_2 \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta} Tr_{P_{J_2} \mathcal{H}} (\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha} - K_{\overline{z}_\alpha} z_\alpha) \qquad (63)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce the instanton number

$$
k = -\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2} Tr\,[dK] = -\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2} \lim_{J_2 \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\theta} (Tr_{P_J} \otimes Tr_{P_{J_2} \mathcal{H}}) (\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha} - K_{\overline{z}_\alpha} z_\alpha) \qquad (64)
$$

where K_{z_α} and $K_{\overline{z}_\alpha}$ are the components of the three form $K = Tr_{U(2)}(\widetilde{A}\widetilde{F} - \frac{1}{3}(\widetilde{A})^3)$. The relation (64) shows that the calculus of the instanton number is determined by the behavior of the components of the three form $Tr_{U(2)}(\widetilde{A}\widetilde{F} - \frac{1}{3}(\widetilde{A})^3)$ in the asymptotic region $J \longrightarrow \infty$ of the Fock space.

First (58) behaves like

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{J \to \infty} U^\dagger dU &= -\frac{1}{2z\overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{z}_1 & -2\overline{z}_2 \\ 0 & -\overline{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} dz_1 - \frac{1}{2z\overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{z}_2 & 0 \\ -2\overline{z}_1 & \overline{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} dz_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2z\overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 \\ -2z_2 & -z_1 \end{pmatrix} d\overline{z}_1 + \frac{1}{2z\overline{z}} \begin{pmatrix} -z_2 & -2z_1 \\ 0 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} d\overline{z}_2 \qquad (65)
\end{aligned}
$$

and has the same form as for the commutative case $g^{-1}dg$ where g is given by (54). In this asymptotic region of the Fock space one may see from (59) and (57) that the components of F^{ab} and $\widetilde{F}^{ab} = (U^\dagger F U)^{ab}$ behave like $(z\overline{z})^{-2}$ and the components of the gauge fields A^{ab} and $(U^\dagger A U)^{ab}$ behave like $(z\overline{z})^{-2}\overline{z}_\alpha$ or $(z\overline{z})^{-2}z_\alpha$. Then from (31), the asymptotic behavior of $U^\dagger A U$ and (65) one deduces that the gauge field $\widetilde{A}$ reduces to a pure gauge

$$
\lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} \ \widetilde{A} \longrightarrow U^\dagger dU
$$

leading to

$$
\lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)} K = \lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)} (\widetilde{A}\widetilde{F} - \frac{1}{3}(\widetilde{A})^3) \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{3} Tr_{U(2)}((U^\dagger dU)^3) \qquad (66)
$$

where $U^\dagger dU$ is given by (65). A straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)} K &= +\frac{1}{(z\overline{z})^2} \overline{z}_1 dz_1 dz_2 d\overline{z}_2 + \frac{1}{(z\overline{z})^2} \overline{z}_2 dz_2 dz_1 d\overline{z}_1 \\
& -\frac{1}{(z\overline{z})^2} z_1 d\overline{z}_1 dz_2 d\overline{z}_2 - \frac{1}{(z\overline{z})^2} z_2 d\overline{z}_2 dz_1 d\overline{z}_1. \qquad (67)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$K_{z_\alpha} = -z_\alpha \frac{1}{(z\overline{z})^2} \ , \ K_{\overline{z}_\alpha} = \frac{1}{(z\overline{z})^2}\overline{z}_\alpha \Longrightarrow \overline{z}_\alpha K_{z_\alpha} - K_{\overline{z}_\alpha} z_\alpha = -\frac{2}{z\overline{z}}. \tag{68}$$

Inserting (68) into (64), we get

$$\begin{aligned} k &= (Tr_{P_J\mathcal{H}} \otimes -\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{1}{2\theta}\lim_{J_2\longrightarrow\infty} Tr_{P_{J_2}\mathcal{H}})(\frac{-2}{z\overline{z}}) \\ &= (2J+1)\frac{(4\pi\theta)^2}{8\pi^2}\frac{1}{2\theta}\lim_{J_2\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{2(2J_2+1)}{4\theta J_2} = (2J+1) \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

where the first factor of the right side of (69) represents the dimension of the space $P_J\mathcal{H}$ which has been identified with the vector space $\mathbb{C}^k$, $k = 2J+1$, in which the linear operators B_1 and B_2 of the ADHM construction act. This number, $k = Dim(\mathbb{C}^k) = 2J+1$, is considered as the instanton number of the ADHM construction. The second factor may be viewed as the non commutative version of the winding number. In fact one may see from (64), (66) and (54) that the instanton number resembles the element of the third homotopy group $\pi_3(SU(2)) \approxeq \mathbb{Z}$. It is the noncommutative version of the winding number measured by the surface integral at infinity.

$$k = \frac{1}{24\pi^2}\int_{S^3_\infty} dS_\mu tr(g^{-1}\partial_\nu g)(g^{-1}\partial_\rho g)(g^{-1}\partial_\sigma g)\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tag{70}$$

where $g = U$ belongs to the classical $id_k \otimes SU(2)$ group (54), $g^{-1}dg$ is given by (65) where z_α and $\overline{z}_\alpha$ are taken as c-number and $\partial R^4 = S^3_\infty$.

Notice that since the second factor of the right hand side of (69) characterizes the noncommutative winding number $n = 1$, the instanton number k (69) may be interpreted as a sum of k $U(2)$ instantons of noncommutative winding number $n = 1$. Each term of this sum can be calculated, with the same formalism presented in this section, by replacing P_J (47) by the projector of rank one

$$P_{Jm} = |J, m\rangle\langle J, m| . \tag{71}$$

Much more, we can generalize (69) for a winding number n by replacing, in this section, the partial isometry U by $U_n = (U)^n$ which keeps the same partial isometry property (52), the relations (53) and the normalization (55) for the solution $\widetilde{\Psi} = \Psi U_n$ giving the gauge field $\widetilde{A}_n = \widetilde{\Psi}^\dagger_n d\widetilde{\Psi}_n$ and the field strength $\widetilde{F}_n = d\widetilde{A}_n + (\widetilde{A}_n)^2$ satisfying the relations

$$\widetilde{A}_n = U^\dagger_n A U_n + U^\dagger_n dU_n \text{ and } \widetilde{F}_n = U^\dagger_n F U_n$$

where the components of A and F are given by (57) and (59) respectively. For the same reasons presented above, in the asymptotic region $J \to \infty$ the components of $U^\dagger_n A U_n$ behave as those of $U^\dagger A U$ (like $z_\alpha(z\overline{z})^{-2}$ or $\overline{z}_\alpha(z\overline{z})^{-2}$) and the components of $\widetilde{F}_n$ behave as those of $\widetilde{F}$ (like $(z\overline{z})^{-2}$). This asymptotic behavior implies that $Tr(\widetilde{F}_n)^2$ is given by a converge series and therefore we can apply the same process leading to (64) by replacing the asymptotic behavior of the three form K by

$$\lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)} K_n = \lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)} (\widetilde{A}_n \widetilde{F}_n - \frac{1}{3}(\widetilde{A}_n)^3) \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{3} Tr_{U(2)}((U_n^\dagger dU_n)^3). \tag{72}$$

Now let us show by induction that (72) leads to the value n of the winding number. This is certainly true for $n = 1$ (69). Suppose (72) leads to the winding number n and establish it for $n + 1$.

Let $A_n^u = U_n^\dagger dU_n$, then $A_{n+1}^u = U^\dagger A_n^u U + U^\dagger dU$. In the asymptotic region $J \to \infty$ of the Fock space, U behave like the classical group (54) (c-number). Then we can use the cyclic properties of the trace and the Leibniz rules of the exterior derivative to show that

$$\lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)} (A_{n+1}^u)^3 = \lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)}((A_n^u)^3 + (U^\dagger dU)^3 - 3d(U_{n+1}^\dagger dU_n dU)). \tag{73}$$

Since the third term of the right hand side of (73) is a total derivative, it does not contribute to (64). In fact, for a total derivative three form K i.e. $K = dH$ where H is any two form , the process of cancellation which has led to the boundary terms (63) occurs in evaluating $Tr_{P_{J_2}\mathcal{H}}(\overline{z}_\alpha, K_{z_\alpha} - K_{\overline{z}_\alpha} z_\alpha)$ which vanishes. This is the noncommutative version of Stokes'theorem for a three dimensional manifold without boundary. And therefore, from (73) we deduce that the winding number calculated from the left hand side of (73) is $n + 1$, a sum of the contribution coming from the first term of the right hand side of (73) which is supposed to be n and the contribution coming from the second term $\lim_{J \longrightarrow \infty} Tr_{U(2)}(U^\dagger dU)^3$ which give $n = 1$ (69).

Hence we can conclude that:

- in this formalism the $U(2)$ instanton number of noncommutative ADHM construction is kn, the product of the dimension of the Fock sub-space $P_J\mathcal{H}$, $k = 2J+1$, times the winding number n. It also can be viewed as k $U(2)-$instantons of winding number n calculated by using the projector (71).

- This result clarifies the geometrical picture of the noncommutative ADHM instanton number and shows way it is the same value as commutative instanton number.

-The $U(2)$ instanton number depends on the rank of projectors not on their form. The projectors

$$P = \sum_{n_1=N_1}^{N_2} |n_1 + k, n_1 + l\rangle \langle n_1 + k, n_1 + l| \quad or \quad P_J = \sum_{m=M_1}^{m=M_2} |J, m\rangle \langle J, m|$$

$\forall k, l \in \mathbb{N} \geq 0$ and $\forall J = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, ...\infty$ and $m = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - n_2)$ runs by integer steps over the range $-J \leq M_1 \leq m \leq M_2 \leq J$ and $M_2 - M_1 = k \leq J$, give the same instanton number $k = N_2 - N_1 + 1 = M_2 - M_1 + 1$ modulo the winding number n. This property resembles the noncommutative analogue of the invariance under translations of the instanton positions.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank M. Dubois-Violette for helpful discussion.

References

[1] M.F.Atiyah, N.J.Hitchin, V.G.Drinfeld, Yu.I.Manin, "Construction of Instantons", Phys. Lett. 65A, 185 (1978).

[2] E. Witten, "Sigma models and the ADHM construction of instantons", J. Geom. Phys. 15, 215 (1995), [arXiv:hep-th/9410052].

[3] M. R. Douglas, "Branes within branes", [arXiv:hep-th/9512077] .

[4] M. R. Douglas, "Gauge fields and D-branes", J. Geom. phys. 28, 255 (1998), [arXiv:Hep-th/9604198] .

[5] A. Hanany and D. Tong, "Vortices, instantons and branes", JHEP 0404, 066 (2004), [arXiv:Hep-th/0403158] .

[6] A. Hanany and D. Tong, "Vortex strings and four-dimensional gauge dynamics", JHEP 0307, 037 (2003), [arXiv:hep-th/0306150].

[7] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, "Instantons in the Higgs phase ", Phys. Rev. D 72, 025011 (2005), [arXiv:hep-th/0412048].

[8] E. Corrigan, P. Goddard, H. Osborn and S. Templeton, "Zeta function regularization and multi-instanton determinants", Nucl. Phys. B159, 469 (1979).

[9] C. S. Chu, V. V. Khose and G. Travaglini,"Notes on noncommutative instantons", Nucl. Phys. B621, 101 (2002), [hep-th/0108007] .

[10] Y. Tian, C.-J. Zhu and X.-C. Song,"Topological charge of noncommutative ADHM instanton", Mod. Phys. Lett. A18, 1691 (2003), [hep-th/0211225] .

[11] K.Furuuchi, "Topological charge of $U(1)$ Instantons on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$ ", Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 144, 79 (2001), [hep-th/0010006].

[12] K.Furuuchi, "Equivalence of Projections as Gauge Equivalence on Noncommutative space", Commun. Math. Phys. 217, 579 (2001), [hep-th/0005199].

[13] K.Furuuchi, "Dp-D(p+4) in Noncommutative Yang-Mills", JHEP 0103, 033 (2001), [hep-th0010119].

[14] T Ishikawa, S. Kuroki and A. Sako,"Instanton number calculus on noncommutative R^4", JHEP 08, 028 (2002), [hep-th/0201196] .

[15] A. Sako, "Instanton Number of Noncommutative $U(n)$ gauge theory", JHEP 0304, 023 (2003), [hep-th/0209139].

[16] N. Nekrasov, A. Schwartz, "Instanton on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$, and (2,0) superconformal six dimensional theory", Commun. Math. Phys. 198, 689 (1998),[hep-th/9802068].

[17] E.Corrigan, P.Goddard, "Construction of Instanton and Monopole Solutions and Reciprocity", Ann. Phys 154, 253 (1984).

[18] M. Hamanaka, "ADHM/Nahm construction of localised solitons noncommutative gauge theories", Phys. Rev. D65, 085022 (2002), [hep-th/0109070] .

[19] O. Lechtenfeld and A. D. Popov, "Noncommutative 't Hooft Instantons", JHEP 0203, 040 (2002), [hep-th/0109209] .

[20] T. A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld and H. Muller-Ebhardt, "Noncommutative Moduli for Multi-Instantons, Mod. Phys. Lett. A19 2419 (2004) [hep-th/0404127] .

[21] Y. Tian and C.J. Zhu, "Comments on noncommutative ADHM construction",Phys. Rev. D 67, 045016 (2003), [hep-th/0210163] .

[22] N. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative instantons revised", Commn. Math. Phys. 241, 143 (2003), [hep-th/0010017] .

[23] H. W. Braden and N. Nekrasov, "Space-time foam from non-commutative instantons, Comm. Math. Phys. 249, 431 (2004), [hep-th/9912019] .

[24] N. Nekrasov,"Trieste lectures on solitons in noncommutative gauge theories",[hep-th/0010095] .